

Original Article

Low back pain in residents of Comalcalco, Tabasco, Mexico: Prevalence and associated factors.

Marco Antonio Zavala-González *, Rosario Correa-De la Cruz **, Alberto Popoca-Flores ***, Sergio Eduardo Posada-Arévalo**

*Mexican Society of Public Health, A.C.; **Academic Division of Health Sciences, Juárez Autónoma University of Tabasco; ***General Hospital of Zona No. 2, Mexican Institute of Social Security, Tabasco Delegation.

Correspondence: Marco Antonio Zavala González. Address: Calle principal de la alberca # 24, Col. Ingenio Santa Rosalía, H. Cárdenas, Tabasco, México, C.P. 86500. Tel.: +52(937)-126-4197. e-mail: zgma_51083@yahoo.com.mx

Objective:

Cross-sectional analytical study, to determine the prevalence of low back pain and its association with known risk factors, in users of the Mexican Institute of Social Security, in Comalcalco, Tabasco, Mexico. Material and methods: A multistage sample was taken, randomly selecting a medical center of six, and 100 of 420 selected medical center users. Respondents were chosen by convenience, gathering different variables: sociode-mographic, anthropometric, lifestyle, chronic degenerative diseases, and low back pain, by personal interviews and review of medical records. Descriptive statistics and odds ratio ($p \le 0.05$) were estimated. Results: Prevalence of low back pain was observed in 63% beneficiaries. A significant association with occupation, age, sex, obesity, unhealthy habits and chronic degenerative diseases was found, concluding that the prevalence is high, and that risk factors identified in other areas are not applicable to this population.

Introduction

Low back pain has clinical, social and economical importance since it is a public health problem that affects the population indiscriminately. The available literature is heterogeneous and contradictory.

It is unknown exactly what the proportion of affected people around the world is. Globally, it is estimated that 4-33% of the population exhibits it [1]. While in the United States (USA), Spain and Africa this ranges from 8% to 56% [2-4]. Recent data indicate that its incidence and prevalence have remained stable over the last 15 years [5]. However, the prevalence in the general population is rarely under study, compared with the prevalence in specific groups, like schoolchildren, adolescents, medical students, construction workers, nurses, farmers and indigenous people, to mention a few [6-14].

Most of the research has been developed in the field of occupational medicine, even over orthopedics, since industrialized societies are presenting an disability epidemic due to low back pain, with a tremendous socioeconomic and labor impact, that tend to increase [15]. In Mexico, Noriega-Elió et al. [16] stated that 10-15% of the disability reports in the Mexican Institute of Social Security (IMSS), are issued for low back pain.

Given the frequency of low back pain, attempts have been made to identify its etiology, and so far, approximately 57 causes have been pointed out [17]. However, there is no linear correlation between clinical and anatomic alterations, so an etiological diagnosis is only possible in 10-20% of the cases, i.e., 80-90% of low back pains were "non-specific" [18,19]. Therefore, approximately 90% are cared for and controlled by primary care [3].

Risk factors are numerous, varied and heterogeneous, amongst them are: prolonged static posture, heredity, old age, heavy labor, smoking, obesity, stress, low psychological well being, female gender, operating heavy machinery, exposure to intense vibrations, emotional and behavioral problems, abdominal pain, tiredness, odynophagia, rapid growth rate, tendon and quadriceps stiffness, study and work, previous episode of low back pain 12 months before current, age >25 years old,

Tall stature (\geq 1.80 m), overweight, construction work, nursing and office work, repetitive and monotonous, frequent twisted postures, driving vehicles daily, lifting and carrying heavy loads, work dissatisfaction, unemployment, and work in the field [2-32]. On the other hand, it has not always been able to corroborate the significant association of these factors with low back pain, so it is recommended to check in different populations.

In the IMSS in Tabasco, low back pain is one of the main reasons to request medical attention. In the Family Medicine Unit (UMF) No. 11 of the IMSS, Comalcalco, Tabasco, it's one of the top 20 reasons for consultation in adults over 20 years old, and one of the 20 most common reasons for temporal disability [33-35].

Therefore, an investigation was conducted aimed at determining the prevalence of low back pain and its association with some known risk factors, in beneficiaries in the UMF No. 11 of the IMSS, Comalcalco, Tabasco, Mexico.

Materials and methods

An analytic cross-sectional investigation was conducted, in which the universe of the study were beneficiaries of the UMF 11 of the IMSS, in Comalcalco, Tabasco, México, a finite and undetermined number, from which a multistage sample was taken: 1) Sub-universe of medical centers (6 units), random sample with maximum error acceptable 5%, estimated percentage of sample 10%, and p=0.05 obtaining 1 medical center, randomly selected by raffle method, "Medical Center No. 6". 2) Sub-universe of 420 beneficiaries appointed to the selected medical center, probability sampling with maximum error acceptable 5%, estimated percentage



of the sample 25%, and p=0.05, obtaining 100 beneficiaries, selected by nonprobability sampling by convenience. Selecting people >15 years old, of either sex, who went to receive medical and/or preventive attention in the selected location during September-December 2007; excluding: pregnant women, carriers of cognitive diseases, locomotive disabilities and/or musculoskeletal malformations, users of wheelchairs or crutches, and people with a history of spinal surgery.

Accepted after external review

Given the frequency of low back pain, attempts have been made to identify its etiology, and so far, approximately 57 causes have been pointed out [17]. However, there is no linear correlation between clinical and anatomic alterations, so an etiological diagnosis is only possible in 10-20% of the cases, i.e., 80-90% of low back pains were "non-specific" [18,19]. Therefore, approximately 90% are cared for and controlled by primary care [3].

Risk factors are numerous, varied and heterogeneous, amongst them are: prolonged static posture, heredity, old age, heavy labor, smoking, obesity, stress, low psychological well being, female gender, operating heavy machinery, exposure to intense vibrations, emotional and behavioral problems, abdominal pain, tiredness, odynophagia, rapid growth rate, tendon and quadriceps stiffness, study and work, previous episode of low back pain 12 months before current, age >25 years old,

Sociodemographic and anthropometric variables on lifestyles and unhealthy habits, chronic degenerative diseases and low back pain were studied. The information was gathered in an interpretive survey, filled in by direct examination (subject to signature of informed consent [of the parents / guardians in the case of minors under 18 years old]) and clinical record review.

The data were analyzed using the software Epi Info[®] v3.3.2 (freeware distributed by the USA Center of Disease Control and Prevention) in two phases: 1) Descriptive statistics to identify characteristics of the sample, and 2) Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence (p \leq 0.05) to identify factors associated with Low Back Pain. The research was conducted with prior approval of the Local Committee of Inquiry 2701 of the IMSS.

Results

Description of the population:

100 beneficiaries, 57% male and 43% female were studied. The mean age was 32.5 ± 12.49 years, mode 28, minimum 17, and maximum 76 years. The sociodemographic, lifestyle and health conditions of the population are shown in Table I, while the anthropometric characteristics are shown in Table II.

Prevalence of Low Back Pain

The prevalence of Low Back Pain was 63% of beneficiaries.

Table I. Sociodemographic, lifestyle and health conditions of the population

Features		Frequency	Percentage
Sex	Female	43	43
	Male	57	57
Occupation	Housewife	1	1
	Housewife with wage labor	4	4
	Student	22	22
	Student with wage labor	11	11
	Employee	62	62
Lifestyle	Sedentarism	84	84
	Smoking	38	38
	Alcoholism	44	44
	Regular physical activity	36	36
Health conditions	Overweight Obesity Chronic degenerative disease Diabetes Mellitus Systemic Artenial Hypertension Dyslipidemia Metabolic Syndrome	30 54 40 10 18 27 7	30 54 40 10 18 27 7

Table II. Anthropometric characteristics of the population

Statistical measure	Variables			
Mean Standard deviation Median Mode Minimum value Maximum value	Weight (Kg) 75.8 12.6 74.0 68.0 52.0 112.0	Size (m) 1.63 0.08 1.65 1.65 1.42 1.88	BMI (Kg/m2) 28.34 4.14 27.32 25.95 20.90 43.52	

Factors associated with Low Back Pain

When looking for factors associated with Low Back Pain, it was found an association statistically significant with: student occupation, age >25 years, male gender, wage earning worker, obesity, sedentarism, smoking, alcoholism, chronic degenerative disease, Diabetes Mellitus, Systemic Arterial Hypertension, and Metabolic Syndrome (Table III). Table III. Odds Ratio: Independent Variables / Low back pain



Table III. Odds Ratio: Independent Variables / Low

Independent Variables	OR	IC ₂₅	Р
Occupation housewife Occupation students with wage labor Size >1.80m Overweight Physical activity Dyshpidemia Occupation student Age >25 years Sex male Occupation employee Obesity Sedentarism Smolding Alcoholusm Chronic degenerative dinease Diabetes Mellitus Systemic Arterial Hypertension Metabolic Syndrome	1.80 0.67 1.18 0.87 2.58 0.18 5.59 2.93 4.30 3.44 18.56 4.15 4.83 6.05 1.69 5.95 1.66	0.18. 17.94 0.19. 2.36 0.10. 13.48 0.25. 2.94 0.37. 2.04 0.93. 7.15 0.65. 0.51 2.19. 14.23 1.26. 6.79 1.80. 10.25 1.46. 8.05 3.91. 88.11 1.59. 10.83 1.91. 12.92 2.21. 16.54 1.42. 2.01 1.42. 2.01 1.42. 2.01 1.42. 2.01	×005 ×005 ×005 ×005 ×005 ×005 ×005 ×005

Discussion

The prevalence of low back pain in this series (63%) was higher than that reported worldwide (4-33%) [1], in USA (8-56%) [2], and Africa (10-14%) [4]. This high prevalence may be related to the high BMI of the people (which denotes a population with overweight and obesity), and the large proportion of sedentary people. Given these findings, it is necessary to investigate on this matter in order to identify causes and associated factors.

Regarding associated factors, the data was able to corroborate the association of some risk factors described in the literature. Smoking is consistent with what Manchikanti [2], Feldman et al. [7], Deyo [30], Croft [31], and Brage [32] reported. The significant risk with obesity agrees with that informed by Manchikanti [1], Latza et al. [9], Deyo [30], Croft [31], and Brage [32]. Likewise, it also coincided with the risk of sedentarism, noted by Juul-Kristensen et al. [22] and by Ozgu-ler and his group [23]. It also agreed with the results published by Latza et al. [9] which pointed out that the group people >25 years old, were at risk. In addition, the data was able to corroborate that the workers represent a risk group, which agrees with that reported by several authors [2,10,12,22,23,28,29]. On the other hand, there were no references that mention as risk factors: male gender, alcoholism and chronic degenerative diseases; and protective association with the student occupation so it is believed that they are factors associated particularly with the research population. Finally, there was no significant association with female gender, students workers, tall stature and overweight, contrary to the findings reported by Manchikanti [2], Feldman et al.[7], Latza et al. [9], Ozguler et al. [23], and Acouffe et al. [27].

Conclusions

The prevalence of low back pain in beneficiaries of the IMSS, in Comalcalco, Tabasco, Mexico, is greater than that reported in the international literature.

The significant association of low back pain with some risk factors identified in developed countries was not corroborated in the Comalcaco inhabitants, therefore the epidemiological findings reported in several areas should not be adopted by the health care providers without having ratified or rejected such association within its user population. This conclusion and recommendation is applicable to other Latin American populations. To provide better conclusions, larger studies with larger samples, a narrower selection criteria and the inclusion of other variables are required.

Acknowledgments

We thank the authorities of the UMF 11 of the IMSS, Tabasco Delegation, for the facilities granted to carry out this study.

Jordana JM, Gil M. Estudio epidemiológico de la lumbalgia. Análisis de

References

factores predictivos de incapacidad. Rehabilitación. 2003;37:3-10. 1. Woolf AD, Pfleger B. Burden of major musculoskeletal conditions. Bull 16. Noriega-Elió M, Barrón-Soto A, Sierra-Martínez O, Méndez-Ramírez World Health Organ. 2003;81(9):215-22. I, Pulido-2. Manchikanti L. Epidemiology of Low Back Pain. Pain Physician. Navarro M, Cruz-Flores C. La polémica sobre las lumbalgias y su relación 2000;3(2);167-92. con el trabajo: estudio retrospectivo en trabajadores con invalidez. Cad Saúde 3. Peña-Sagredo JI, Brieva-Beltrán P, Peña-Martín C, Humbría-Mendiola Pública. A. Unidades 2005;21(3):150-66. de espalda: un modelo multidisciplinario. Rev Esp Reumatol. 17. Champín-Michelena D. Lumbalgia. Rev Soc Per Med Inter. 2002;29:499-502. 2004;17(2):50-6. 4. Low Q, Morris L, Grimmer-Somers K. The prevalence of low back pain 18. Moyá-Ferrer F. Lumbalgia. En: Andreu JL, Barceló P, Figueroa M, in Africa: a systematic review. BMC Musculoeskeletal Disorders. 2007;8:105-19. Herrero-Beau-5. Barbadillo-Mateos C, Rodríguez-Cardoso A, Herrero-Pardo-de-Donmont G, Martín-Mola E, Olivé A, et. al., editores. Manual de enfermedades reumátilebún M. cas de la Sociedad Española de Reumatología. Mosby/Doyma; Madrid. Lumbalgias. Jano. 2001;61:101-5. 6. Watson KD, Papageorgiou AC, Jones GT, Taylor S, Symmons DPM, Sil-1996. 19. Manek NJ, McGregor AJ. Epidemiology of back disorders: prevaman AJ, Macfarlane GJ. Low back pain in schoolchildren: the role of mechanical lence, risk factors and prognosis. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2005;17:134-40. and psychosocial factors. Archs Dis Child. 2003;88:12-7. 20. Peña-Sagredo JL, Peña C, Brieva P, Pérez-Núñez M, Humbría-Men-7. Feldman DE, Shrier I, Rossingnol M, Abenhaim L. Risk factors for dediola A. Fivelopment siopatología de la lumbalgia. Rev Esp Reumatol. 2002;29:483-8. 21. Feyer AM, Herbison P, Williamson AM, De-Silva I, Mandryk J, Hendiré of low back pain in adolescence. Am J Epidemiol. 2001;154(1):30-6. 8. Smith DR, Wei N, Ishitake T, Wang RS. Musculoeskeletal disorders L, Hely MCG. The role of pysical and psychosocial factors in occupational low among chiback pain: nesse medical students. Kurume Medical Journal. 2005:52:139-46. a prospective cohort study. Occup Environ Med. 2005;57:116-20. 9. Latza U, Karmaus W, Stürmer T, Steiner M, Neth A, Rehder U. Cohort 22. Juul-Kristensen B, Jensen C. Self-reported workplace related ergostudy of occupational risk factors of low back pain in construction workers. Ocnomic conditions as prognostic factor for musculoeskeletal symptons: the "BIT" cup Envifollow up ron Med. 2000;57:28-34. study on office workers. Occup Environ Med. 2005;62:188-94. 10. Kaneda K, Shirai Y, Miyamoto M. An epidemiological study on oc-23. Ozguler A, Leclerc A, Landre MF, Pietri-Taleb F, Niedhammer I. Indicupational low back pain among people who work in construction. J Nipón vidual and occupational determinants of low back pain according to various defi-Med Sch. nitions of 2001;68(4):310-7. 11. Smedley J, Egger P, Cooper C, Coggon D. Prospective cohort study low back pain. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2000;54:215-20. 24. Papageorgiou AC, Croft PR, Thomas E, Silman AJ, Macfarlane GJ. of predictors of incident low back pain in nurses. BMJ. 1997;314:1225-33. Psychosocial risk for low back pain: are these related work? Ann Rheum Dis. 12. Ando S, Ono Y, Shimaoka M, Hiruta S, Hattori Y, Hori F, Takeuchi Y. 1998:57:500-2. Associa-25. Harkness EF, Macfarlane GJ, Nahit ES, Silman AJ, McBeth J. Risk factions of self estimated workloads with musculoeskeletal symptoms tors for among hosnew-onset low back pain amongst cohorts of newly employed workpital nurses. Occup Environ Med. 2000;57:211-6. 13. Holmberg S, Thelin A, Stiernström EL, Svärdsudd K. Low back pain ers. Rheucomorbimatology. 2003;42:959-68. dity among male farmers and rural referents: a population-based study. 26. Jones GT, Watson KD, Silman AJ, Symmons DPM, Macfarlane GJ. Pre-Ann dictors of low back pain in british schoolchildren: a poppulation-based prospec-Agric Environ Med. 2005;12:261-8. 14. Vindigni D, Walter BF, Jamison JR, Da-Costa C, Parkinson L, Blunden tive cohort S. Low back study. Pediatrics. 2003;111(4):822-8. 27. Acouffe J, Manillier P, Brehier M, Fabin C, Faupin F. Analysis by sex pain risk factors in a large rural Australian Aboriginal community. An of low back opportunity for massaging co-morbitities? Chiropractic & Osteopathy. 2005;13:21pain among workers from small companies in the Paris area: severity and occu-33. pational consequences. Occup Environ Med. 1999;56:696-701. 15. Sauné-Castillo M, Arias-Anglada R, Lleget-Maymo I, Ruiz-Bassols A, Escriba-28. Walter-Bone K, Palmer KT. Musculoeskeletal disorders in farmers

2010 Vol. 2 No. 1:4 doi: 10.3823/054

and farm

workers. Occup Med. 2002;52(8):441-50.

29. Palmer KT, Griffin MJ, Syddall HE, Pannett B, Cooper C, Coggon D. The relative

importance of whole body vibration and occupational lifting as risk factor for

low back pain. Occup Environ Med. 2003;60:715-21.

30. Deyo RA, Bass JE. Lifestyle and low back pain: the influence of smoking and

obesity. Spine. 1989;14:501-6.

31. Croft PR, Rigby AS. Socioeconomic influences on back problems in the com-

munity in Britain. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1994;48:166–70.

32. Brage S, Bjerkedal T. Musculoskeletal pain and smoking in Norway. J Epide-

miol Community Health. 1996;50:166–9.

33. Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social. Primer reporte semestral 2007 del Sis-

tema de Información Médica Ordinaria. Diagnósticos más frecuentes en la con-

sulta externa. IMSS; Villahermosa, México. 2007:95-105.

34. Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social. Reporte anual 2006 del Sistema de

Información Médica Ordinaria. Diagnósticos más frecuentes en la consulta ex-

terna. Unidad de Medicina Familiar No. 11, Tabasco. IMSS; Villahermosa, México.

2007:95-105.

35. Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social. Reporte anual 2006 del Sistema de In-

formación Médica Ordinaria. Incapacidades temporales y permanentes. Unidad

de Medicina Familiar No. 11, Tabasco. IMSS; Villahermosa, México. 2007:125-35.

© Under license of Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License

Article available at: http://www.archivosdemedicina.com

Publish with iMedPub Journals

http://www.imedpub.com

ARCHIVES OF MEDICINE encompasses all aspects of medical science and clinical practice and cooperates closely with ARCHIVOS DE MEDICINA, a Spanish-language open access journal. Submit your manuscript here:

Archives of Medicine (http://archivesofmedicine.com)

Archivos de Medicina (http://www.archivosdemedicina.com)