Acute Management of Renal Colic and Compliance with National Standards: Closure of the Audit Loop
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Abstract

Ureteric colic is a very common problem. Patients often present to A&E in significant pain, with sepsis. There are very strict guidelines produced by the BAUS and the College of Emergency Medicine. Despite this, over a quarter of UK A&E departments did not perform any radiological investigations when patients presented with renal colic. Shockingly some departments do not even offer renal colic patients any analgesia.

Aims and objectives: We aim to re-audit management of patients admitted to Worcestershire Acute Hospitals with renal colic in line with BAUS guidelines (2008) over a one year period and develop recommendations in order to develop the service further.

Methods: Over one year, we conducted a retrospective analysis of patient notes admitted with renal colic to Worcestershire Acute Hospitals with a focus on pain management and investigations conducted.

Results: We have demonstrated that in the majority of guidelines present, our practice has improve. The majority of patients are currently having their pain re-assessed within one hour after receiving analgesia and are receiving the appropriate analgesic. However whilst 79% of those having X-ray KUB as the primary radiological investigation the same day, only 50% of those having CT are having it done the same day.

Conclusions: We have demonstrated we are following guidelines in the majority of patients, however can still improve service running with further recommendations. Once clinical changes are in place, we can then re-audit the system again to see if any further change has been caused.
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Introduction

Renal (ureteric) colic is a common surgical emergency. It is usually caused by calculi obstructing the ureter, but about 15% of patients have other causes, e.g. extrinsic compression, intramural neoplasia or an anatomical abnormality [1]. Up to 12 percent of the population will have a urinary stone during their lifetime, and recurrence rates approach 50 percent [2]. Fifty-five percent of those with recurrent stones have a family history of urolithiasis, [3] and having such a history increases the risk of stones by a factor of three [4]. Upon presentation to the A&E department, suspected acute renal colic patients must have a clinical examination and radiological investigations to confirm the diagnosis [5].

The best imaging study to confirm the diagnosis of a urinary stone in a patient with acute flank pain is unenhanced, helical CT of the abdomen and pelvis [6]. If CT is unavailable, plain abdominal radiography should be performed, since 75 to 90 percent of urinary calculi are radiopaque [7]. Although ultrasonography has high specificity (greater than 90 percent), its sensitivity is much lower than that of CT, typically in the range of 11 to 24 percent [7]. Thus, ultrasonography is not used routinely but is appropriate as the initial imaging test when colic occurs during pregnancy [8]. Urgent intervention is indicated in a patient with an obstructed, infected upper urinary tract, impending renal deterioration, intractable pain or vomiting, anuria, or high-grade obstruction of a solitary or transplanted kidney [7]. Infection proximal to
obstruction is suggested by fever, urinalysis showing pyuria and bacteriuria, and leukocytosis, and the presence of urosepsis is associated with an increased risk of complications [7]. Impaired glomerular filtration inhibits the entry of antibiotics into the collecting system and requires emergency decompression by means of either percutaneous nephrostomy or ureteral stenting [9,10].

There are very strict guidelines produced by the BAUS and the College of Emergency Medicine. Despite this, over a quarter of UK A&E departments did not perform any radiological investigations when patients presented with renal colic. Shockingly some departments do not even offer renal colic patients any analgesia [7].

Baus Guidelines [11] specify clinical assessment must exclude UTI/ AAA. Initial investigations must include xray KUB, urinalysis and FBC/U+Es. Within 24 hours a Non contrast CT must be conducted to confirm the diagnosis, or IVU if CT is unavailable. In addition analgesia must be administered: NSAIDS/ Opiates. If stones are present, mandatory metabolic studies must be conducted. Renal drainage is required in the presence of sepsis/ an infected obstructed kidney, a single functioning kidney or intractable pain.

We previously audited our patient records, and demonstrated we were none compliant with the recommended gold standards. We analysed 32 case notes, from Dec 2009 to September 2010 admitted with a provisional diagnosis of renal colic to Worcestershire Acute Hospitals. We demonstrated the mean time to analgesia from triage for severe pain was 106 minutes, 62 minutes for moderate pain and 46 minutes for mild pain. 84% received the appropriate analgesic (NSAID/Opiate). The reasons for not giving analgesia were documented in all cases. In only 18% (6 cases) pain was re-evaluated within 60 minutes. Stones were proven in 15% (5 cases). 100% of cases had urinalysis (results recorded in the notes). With appropriate bloods being taken in 93.9% (31 cases, FBC, U+Es), but only 2 patients (6%) had urate and calcium levels taken. 75.8% (25 cases) had xray KUBs done as the initial radiological intervention, 78.1% (23 cases) being done the same day. 53.1% (17 cases) had a NCCT, 15% (5) being done within 24 hrs. 9% (3 patients) had IVU conducted, one within 24 hrs, 2 as an outpatient. 29 patients had a radiology plan being done the same day. 53.1% (17 cases) had stones on CT. One patient declined analgesia (results recorded in the notes). 75% (30 cases) had xray KUB as the initial investigation, 79% the same day, 50% (15 cases) had an OP IVU. The Radiology plan was documented in notes in 92.5% (37 cases). 100% had blood for FBC and renal function performed and the result recorded in the notes before discharge. 9% (3 patients) had IVU conducted, one the same day. 27.5% (11 cases) had stones on CT. One patient declined analgesia (results recorded in the notes). 29% had urate and calcium levels tested. 100% of cases had senior Urology review.

Since then, interventions including teaching sessions to junior doctors by a Consultant Urologist have been put into place to improve clinical practice.

Aims and objectives

We aim to re-audit management of patients admitted to Worcestershire Acute Hospitals with renal colic in line with BAUS guidelines (2008) over a one year period and develop recommendations in order to develop the service further.

Method

Over one year, we conducted a retrospective analysis of patient notes admitted with renal colic to Worcestershire Acute Hospitals. We will be collecting data on the following in order to see if clinical practice was in line with guidelines:

- Recording of pain score
- Whether patients in pain were offered/received appropriate analgesia within 60 minutes of arrival/ triage
- Patients with pain having documented evidence of re-evaluation and action within 60 minutes of receiving the first dose of analgesic
- History/Examination: to exclude Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm, UTI.
- Initial Investigations
- Patients should have a dipstick urinalysis performed and the result recorded in the notes
- Patients should have FBC & renal function performed and the result recorded in the notes before discharge
- Serum Calcium/Urate: Mandatory basic metabolic studies if stones are present.
- Xray KUB, non contrast CT or IVU documented in notes and whether CT was conducted within 24 hrs.
- Patients over 60 should have AAA excluded by appropriate investigation
- Outpatient review, GP follow up or specialty referral should be made in accordance with local policy.
- Renal Drainage: Required in presence of: Sepsis/infected obstructed kidney.
- Emergency Senior Urological referral to determine if dis obstruction required and method/timing of renal drainage.

Results

40 cases were admitted to Worcestershire Acute Hospitals with a diagnosis of renal colic. One patient declined analgesia (results documented in notes). 25% had severe pain (10 cases, pain score 7-10), time to analgesia 30 minutes. 25% had moderate pain (10 cases, score 4-6), time to analgesia was 40.4 mins. 50% had mild pain score (20 cases, pain score 1-5), time to analgesia 81.8 mins. 72.5% (29 patients) had their pain re-assessed within one hour after receiving analgesia. 77.5% (31 patients) received appropriate analgesia (NSAID/Opiate). 45% (18 patients) had clinical history/examination to rule out UTI/ AAA. 100% of patients had urinalysis, but in 2 cases, results were not documented in notes. 65% (21 cases) had Xray KUB as the initial investigation, 79% the same day, 9 cases then had NCCT. 75% (30 cases) had NCCT, 50% (15 cases) were the same day. 27.5% (11 cases) had stones on CT. One case had an OP IVU. The Radiology plan was documented in notes in 92.5% (37 cases). 100% had blood for FBC and U+E which were all documented in notes. Only 3 cases had urate and calcium levels tested. 100% of cases had senior Urology review.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that in the majority of guidelines present, our practice has improve. The majority of patients are currently having their pain re-assessed within one hour after receiving...
analgesia and are receiving the appropriate analgesic. However whilst 79% of those having Xray KUB as the primary radiological investigation the same day, only 50% of those having CT are having it done the same day. This demonstrates our interventions have been effective, however we can still improve, especially with regards to timing of radiological investigations. We can aid our service by development of a renal colic protocol/proforma, on which will be recorded all the information that needs to be addressed, e.g. analgesia, time to analgesia, etc. Included in this will be testing for calcium and urate levels in patients with renal stones, which is currently not done as part of routine care in all patients diagnosed with renal stones. We can review whether this is effective with another re-audit.

Conclusion
We have demonstrated we are following guidelines in the majority of patients, however can still improve service running with further recommendations. Once clinical changes are in place, we can then re-audit the system again to see if any further change has been caused.
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